

Oversight of the United States House of Representatives."²⁰ This report reflects a complete description of this Office's work in fulfilling its obligation under the Special Division's October 25, 1996 Order and the Independent Counsel Reauthorization Act.

II. FINDINGS

The OIC found no credible evidence that Mr. Nussbaum testified falsely when he denied ever having spoken to Mrs. Clinton about Craig Livingstone, which included ever discussing hiring him with her,²¹ or when he denied "know[ing] who brought Mr. Livingstone into the White House."²² The evidence compiled by the OIC did not substantiate that Mrs. Clinton or Mr. Nussbaum

²⁰ Order at 1, Div. No. 94-1 (D.C. Cir. [Spec. Div.] Oct. 25, 1996).

²¹ It should be noted at the outset that the statement in the insert is only arguably inconsistent with Mr. Nussbaum's testimony in that the insert itself does not expressly state that Mr. Nussbaum had any conversation with Mrs. Clinton about Mr. Livingstone. Rather, it only reflects that Mr. Livingstone "comes highly recommended by [Mrs.] Clinton to him." According to the literal terms of that insert, that recommendation need not have come from any discussion between Mr. Nussbaum and Mrs. Clinton. Nor, according to the insert, did such a recommendation even necessarily come directly from Mrs. Clinton.

²² This particular formulation suffers from a vagueness that would render any prosecution for its falsity problematic. Even if Mr. Nussbaum knew of a recommendation by Mrs. Clinton, such knowledge is not tantamount to "know[ing] who brought Mr. Livingstone into the White House." HCGRO 6/26/96 Hearing at 57 (Nussbaum). Nevertheless, in light of the absence of evidence that Mr. Nussbaum had any substantial involvement in the hiring of Craig Livingstone or that he had a discussion with Mrs. Clinton regarding Mr. Livingstone, the OIC found no substantial evidence that this statement was false.